

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.Usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,694	07/13/2001	Narihiro Tahara	1403-0212P 9156	
2292	7590 06/18/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I	
	RCH, VA 22040-0747	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	C				
Advisory Action	09/903,694	TAHARA ET AL.	1				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
<ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE:							
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration.	dered but does NO	Γ place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4 and 5.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10.  Other:	, , , , _						
		Katarzyna Wyrozel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714	oski				

Application/Control Number: 09/903,694

Art Unit: 1714

## Attachment to the Advisory

In view of the applicant's amendment after-final following advisory office action is issued. The amendment as submitted will be entered since it does not incorporate any new issues and considerations. The new amendment does not overcome all the prior art rejections of record. Therefore, the rejection over the prior art of SCHOLL'415 is incorporated here by reference.

The prior art of SCHOLL'226 and WOLF'336 do not disclose aluminum hydroxide.

They were utilized to provide for limitations of other inorganic powders required by previously submitted claims.

In the amendment after finals filed on 6/7/2004 the applicant's argued following:

a) The prior art of SCHOLL'415 mentions aluminum hydroxide as one of the many possible fillers.

The examiner agrees. The fact remains that the aluminum hydroxide of SCHOLL is clearly envisioned, taught and disclosed. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize such filler.

The applicant's further refer the examiner to examples of the present invention (example 1, comparative examples 2 and 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,694

Art Unit: 1714

(J

The examiner is not clear as to how these examples would distinguish present invention over the prior art of SCHOLL'415, especially when Mohs hardness of the aluminum hydroxide is its property (Documented Moh's hardness for aluminum hydroxide is approximately 3).

The applicants in their discussion also give weight to the aluminum hydroxide having particle size of less than 25 microns, which feature is not part of the claims.

The fillers of the prior art of SCHOLL include siliceous and/or oxidic fillers, wherein in col. 5, lines 5-6 aluminum hydroxide is clearly disclosed as an oxidic filler. They have equivalent Mohs hardness and if they are disclosed as components that can be utilized as equivalents, their particle size has to also be equivalent to obtain the same results (400 nm = 0.4 microns).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

June 16, 2004